N	O BID DOC	SECTION/ITEM NO	PROVISION/DESCRIPTION	1st SUBMISSION OF COMMENTS	LUECO BAC RESPONSE	2 nd SUBMISSION OF COMMENTS	LUECO BAC RESPONSE
	TOR	Item No. 2 Type of Contract	Financial PSA refers to a power supply agreement with the following characteristics: (1) fixed price effective for the duration of the Contract Term; (2) supply is guaranteed for the entire contract term without reference to any physical power plant; and (3) no provision for outage allowance.	Kindly confirm if the definition of a "Financial PSA" as stated in the TOR implies that the supplier assumes full risk for fuel and price volatility throughout the cooperation period, without any pass-through provision aside from the allowable BER escalation rates?	As defined by ERC in Resolution 16, Series of 2023, our interpretation is: Financial Power Supply Agreements (PSAs) are structured to have a fixed tariff for the duration of the contract. This suggests that the supplier bears the risk of fuel price volatility and other market fluctuations, rather than passing these costs directly to consumers. The only allowable adjustment is through the BER escalation rates as provided in the Terms of Reference (TOR).	_	Force Majeure Event the seller shall not be liable for its obligation EXCEPT there is available supply from another source, SELLER shall continue to supply LUECO at prevailing Generation Rate or WESM Price at LUECO's Market Trading Node, whichever is lower. SELLER shall guarantee 100% availability of supply at prevailing Generation Rate regardless of source plant's availability or unavailability. For the avoidance of doubt, the Power Supplier shall not be entitled to claim any of its act or omission as Force Majeure. B. In case of Change in Circumstances under Article 9, Section 9.1, upon due notice from the seller, the Parties shall
							promptly meet within fourteen (14) days from BUYER's receipt of SELLER's notice and seek in

						good faith to come to an agreement on the amount to be paid to SELLER and the manner of payment or reimbursement (which may consist of a lump-sum payment, assumption by BUYER of additional costs, an adjustment to the Total Generation Cost or a combination thereof or such other alternatives as may be acceptable to the Parties "New Charges", provided that Parties have jointly secured from the ERC Approval.
			If the response is affirmative, is LUECO willing to jointly draft a	•	We have reviewed Article 9, Section 9.1 of the PSA. For our better understanding,	Same answer as letter B above.
			provision in the PSA that allows exceptions or flexibility for the		may we request your guidance or interpretation on how this provision	
			Seller to make adjustments to		supports the supplier's obligation to	
			the Contract Price under		maintain a fixed tariff despite market	
			circumstances beyond the Parties' control?		fluctuations?	
2 TOR		,	Will LUECO consider alternative		•	-
	Technology of		compliance arrangements if a			substitute to actual energy
	Source Plant		bidder cannot meet the RPS percentage requirement in the	,	to comply with the RPS requirement through RECs provided under Section 5.2	requirement of customers, otherwise we will be supplying
		Eligible renewable plant	earlier years but commits to		of ERC Resolution No. 12, 2024 even if it	100% Non-RE.
			ramping up over time?	<u>.</u>	could possibly result in having a cheaper	
					generation rate for its consumers?	Moreover, LUECO is following the priority Compliance Mechanism pursuant to Section 5.3 of ERC

			Resolution No. 12, Series of 2024 wherein REC is set as the last
			option only when other options have been met. In that case, REC is just to fill in the shortfall.
shall only provide RECs in the earlier year/s, or instead provide	No. Section 5.3 of ERC Resolution No. 12, Series of 2024 on Priority Compliance Mechanism requires DU's to prioritize the Compliance Mechanisms specified under Section 5.1 Compliance Mechanisms with Corresponding	Does this mean that LUECO strictly requires its RE requirements to come from a "physical" RE plant even if the over-all generation rate offer of a bidder using RECs as compliance is the cheapest?	Yes. Please refer to answer above.
	Energy, to comply with the least-cost sourcing of power supply to meet the minimum RPS requirement. This being the case, LUECO needs to meet the minimum RPS requirement thru the above-	Given the ramp-up nature of RE capacity development and the long-term nature of this PSA (2025–2035), will LUECO consider phased or staggered RPS compliance plans, wherein the bidder commits to meeting the RPS threshold via actual energy delivery from renewable sources over a defined timeframe, provided that full compliance is achieved within a reasonable period and does not incur higher costs to consumers?	Yes, provided the minimum RE requirement per contract year under the LCOE Worksheet is met.
		Will LUECO recognize forward contracting arrangements—wherein the bidder enters into firm supply contracts with RE developers (under development or near commissioning) to meet RPS targets in succeeding years—as an acceptable form of compliance,	No. The parties involved under the TOR and the PSA are the seller and the buyer in order to fix accountability. Any supply contract with RE developers by the seller is between the two of them.

						especially when such arrangements enable long-term least-cost pricing?	
3	TOR	Item No. 5 Required Contracted Energy	responsible for providing for the full energy requirements of LUECO, net of any reduction by reason of the enforcement of Retail Competition and Open Access (RCOA), Green Energy Option Program (GEOP), Distributed Energy Resources (DER), Net-Metering, Self-Generating Facility (SGF) and	on how RCOA, GEOP, DER integration, and other future government regulations will be factored into the actual demand obligations of the supplier under the PSA? In the event of a capacity reduction due to a loss in captive customers, will the reduction be applied proportionally across all	It's the government agencies, specifically the DOE and ERC, that provide the guidelines on the requirements of RCOA, GEOP, DER, etc.	In the event of a capacity reduction due to a loss in captive customers, will the reduction be applied proportionally	This will not be an issue considering there is only one winning bidder which will supply 100% requirement of LUECO.
4	TOR	Item No. 6 Commencement Date of Supply	September 26, 2025 or upon approval by the ERC of the Provisional Authority to commence supply, whichever is earlier, but to coincide with NGCP Billing every 26th day of the month.	beyond September 26, 2025, how will the contract terms and obligations of the parties be affected? Will an automatic	Please refer to Item No. 7 of the TOR on Cooperation Period.	Please confirm that LUECO will utilize the full nine (9) contract years whether or not the commencement date is beyond September 26, 2025.	Correction - it is a ten (10) year contract.
5	TOR	Item No. 7 Cooperation Period	Commencement date up to September 25, 2035, unless extended by reason of ERC delay of Approval or any event of extended Force Majeure			Will the BAC consider fixing the end term of the agreement?	Our reply is very clear – up to September 25, 2035, unless extended by reason of ERC delay of Approval or any event of extended

	during the Cooperation Period, in which case it shall be extended by a period of time for which the performance is excused as a result of the extended Force Majeure event or due to the delay of ERC approval.			Since the terms of reference prescribes a fixed price for the entire duration of the contract, with escalation which may only account for inflation, it may pose a challenge for bidders to arrive at a competitive fixed price given that the term of the agreement is moving.	Force Majeure during the Cooperation Period Please note the difference between Financial Contract and Physical Contract in ERC Res. 16, Series of 2023. Ours is a Financial Contract.
				As an alternative, may we suggest allowing either of the following: 1. Indexed price offer 2. Add provision for regular review of relevant / prevailing indices and allowing adjustments if needed. The term of the agreement is too long and having a fixed rate poses high risks to suppliers.	These suggestions are not allowed in a Financial Contract.
6 TOR Item No. 8 Tariff Structure	Base Energy Rate (BER) in Php/kWh subject to the following: For RE Supply: • 50% maximum of the BER can be escalated at an annual rate not greater than 3.5% beginning on the second contract year • Inclusive of Line Rental and all other market related charges • No VAT	1. We recognize LUECO's intent to secure supply for its future RPS compliance in this CSP at the lowest possible cost. In this regard, we would like to request that LUECO consider having separate lots for conventional and RE/RPS supply, as we have observed in other CSPs with which we have participated. We believe that power generators utilizing conventional technology will	conventional supply resulting to least cost for the benefit of consumers. Not separating lots for conventional and Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) purposes can have several benefits, including: 1. Simplified Management:	1. May we respectfully request confirmation on whether bidders may propose non-uniform or varying escalation factors across contract years (e.g., different escalation rates for each year rather than a fixed annual escalation), provided they remain within the prescribed caps (i.e., 3.5% for RE and 5% for non-RE)? We have observed that the revised LCOE worksheet still appears to apply a uniform escalation rate across the entire Cooperation Period. In light of the BAC's	Please refer to item no. 4, under IPB, with Bulletin No. 3 posted in LUECO Website.

For Non-RE Supply:

- 60% maximum of the BER can be escalated at an annual rate not greater than 5% beginning on the second contract year
- Inclusive of Line Rental and all other market related charges
- Exclusive of VAT

Bids shall be evaluated based on Levelized Cost of Electricity (LCOE) after VAT, whenever applicable which shall be derived using the LCOE calculation sheet in accordance with the formula in Annex B. The Bidder's Proposed Price (for the first Billing Year) and its LCOE are both subject to predetermined "Reserve Prices.

Bid VAT Rate shall be the maximum 12% VAT for Non-RE Supply that the Winning Bidder may charge LUECO for any given Billing Month.

compelled to generation rate offers to cover the projected and uncertain costs of renewable energy certificates, which may put consumers at a disadvantage. Conversely, RE participate in the bidding capable of meeting LUECO's baseload demand requirements.

raise | administrative workload as there is no need to maintain separate records for conventional and RPS specific lots. This makes tracking and reporting straightforward.

- suppliers may be unable to 2. Cost Savings: Avoiding splitting lots can reduce process, as they might not be | transaction and administrative costs associated with managing multiple lot types, especially in large portfolios.
 - 3. Flexibility: Consolidating lots provides greater flexibility in trading and settlement, allowing the entity to utilize or sell renewable and conventional attributes without being constrained by lot categorization.
 - 4. Market Efficiency: It can improve market liquidity by increasing the tradable unit size and reducing complexity, making it easier for buyers and sellers to transact.
 - 5. Reduced Risk of Errors: Managing fewer lot types decreases the chances of discrepancies or errors in lot classification, which can lead to compliance or settlement issues.

response that "a separate prior supplemental bid bulletin and revised LCOE Worksheet shall be issued accordingly" to reflect the flexibility of varying escalation rates per Contract Year, we would appreciate clarification on the following:

- Could you kindly confirm Yes. Please refer to answer above. whether a further revised LCOE Worksheet will be issued that accurately reflects the allowance for varying escalation rates annually?
- If so, may we know the expected release timeline of the updated LCOE Worksheet to help ensure alignment in all bidders' submissions?

The updated LCOE Worksheet was released last June 17, 2025. Please check your email.

			More importantly, separating		
			lots will expose LUECO customers		
,			to WESM's volatile rates.		
	2.	Please clarify whether this	This has been subject for	2. We would also appreciate any	The Reserve Prices will be based on
		escalation is automatic and	clarification and suggestion	additional insights LUECO may be able to	the historical and current data from
		linear each year, or if it	coming from interested Bidders	provide on the methodology or	ERC approved rates and DOE latest
		requires justification or	to consider varying annual	benchmarks used in setting the Reserve	list of Power Plants in Luzon.
		mutual agreement.	escalation rates not only in an	Prices for the BER and LCOE, especially in	
		_	upward adjustment but allows	the context of fixed-price Financial PSAs	
		Moreover, we respectfully	downward adjustment as well.	that impose full supply risk on the Seller.	
		request clarification on the	•		
		•	The above suggestion has been		
			considered by the BAC, hence, a		
,		escalation percentages,	-		
		particularly in the context of	bulletin and a revised LCOE		
,		a Financial PSA, which -	Worksheet shall be issued		
		under Article III Sec. 3.v of	accordingly.		
		ERC Resolution No. 16, Series	3 ,		
		of 2023—must maintain	, ,		
,		fixed pricing unless the TOR	3		
,			On the Basis for the Escalation		
		price adjustments under			
,		•	The allowable escalation caps		
		we kindly ask for	•		
		•	prevailing industry practices,		
			taking into consideration the		
			following factors:		
		adjustments.	Historical inflation		
		,	trends and cost behavior		
			of RE and non-RE		
			generation technologies;		
]			The need to strike a		
			balance between price		
			prior	1	

		stability for consumers		
		and financial viability for		
		suppliers;		
		 Regulatory guidance 		!
		under ERC Resolution		!
		No. 16, Series of 2023,		!
		particularly Article III,		!
		Section 3.v, which allows		!
		for economic price		
		adjustments in a		
		Financial PSA if expressly		
		stated in the TOR and		
		defined under clear and		
		transparent criteria.		!
		Regulatory Compliance		!
		In line with the aforementioned		
		ERC Resolution, the inclusion of		
		defined escalation caps within		
		the TOR complies with the		
		requirement for pre-disclosed		
		and clearly justified economic		
		adjustments in Financial PSAs.		
		The CSP-BAC confirms that these		
		mechanisms fall within the		
		allowable scope of adjustments		
		contemplated under ERC		
		regulations.		
	. Further, we respectfully	Thank you. BAC is considering		
		your suggestion. We'll issue a		
		revised LCOE Worksheet in the		
	varying escalation factors per			
	Contract Year. We note from	Tiene and admetini		
	contract real. We note from	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	

			clarification on whether costs associated with Interconnection, Transmission, Metering Charges, Line Rental fees, and Market Fees are expected to be included in our bid price offer or treated as pass-through charges. It's worth noting that these costs are charged by NGCP and IEMOP and not by a generator. 5. Kindly confirm if LUECO will consider the possibility of the Line Rental fee as pass-through charges? This approach could help ensure that the Bidder's proposal remains unaffected by any uncertainties or inaccuracies that might arise during the	Please refer to Article 6, Section 6.1 of the PSA on Responsibility for Taxes, Fees and Costs. Rationale for this provision: To prevent volatility in electricity rates due to volatility caused by associated charges. The Bidder is more in the position to determine the associated charges based on their historical data and forecasting software. Please refer to the above comment on Q3.		
7	TOR	Item No. 8 Tariff Structure	modeling of the rental fees		For Bidders that have separate plants to supply the Conventional and RPS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

8	TOR	Item No. 8 Tariff Structure	The Bidder's Proposed Price (for the first Billing Year) and its LCOE are both subject to pre- determined "Reserve Prices.			requirements, please confirm that only the plant for conventional requirement is required to submit the Qualification Documents and Technical Proposal for this purpose. For clarity, what is the composition of the "Reserve Prices"?	accompanied with Technical and Operational Capability documents as specified in the Appendix D-1 of ERC Res. 16, Series of 2023. Please refer to answer on Q6, number 2.
9	TOR	Item No. 9 Prompt Payment Discount	Equivalent to 3% of the Electricity Fee upon full payment within ten (10) days from receipt of Final Power Bill.	discount is applicable across all supply months, regardless of	across all supply months and will be reflected in the succeeding billing month through a separate credit memo. Please refer to Article 5 Fees, Sections 5.2.2 and 5.3 of the PSA. Thank you for your observation. This should be Total Generation Cost not Electricity Fee. We will reflect the change in the next bid bulletin. Definitely, any extension of the PPD period will provide merit	We note from your response from a query of another bidder that any additional discount is welcome. Please confirm whether the additional discount will be part of the BAC's evaluation. If so, we would appreciate it if you could provide the corresponding evaluation metrics or criteria.	You may incorporate your additional discount in the BER.
				extend beyond the ten (10) day standard requirement under the TOR.	on the part of LUECO because it simply means that the period within which to pay its bill will also be extended. However, over the last sixteen (16) years, LUECO has been		

					Any additional discount is most		
					welcome.		
10	TOR	Item No. 9 Prompt Payment Discount	Equivalent to 3% of the Electricity Fee upon full payment within ten (10) days from receipt of Final Power Bill.		weredire.	If Bidder offer other form of discounts, will it be part of the evaluation?	Yes, if it affects the rate.
11	TOR	Item No. 11 Replacement Power	Replacement Power during	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	We would like to confirm that the clause "whichever is lower" only applies to FM Outages and not Planned and Unplanned Outages as a Financial PSA already guarantees 100% availability. This is also aligned with Item No. 10, second paragraph of the TOR which provides that "In the case of Force Majeure Event affecting Power Supplier, Power Supplier shall continue to supply LUECO at BER or WESM Price at LUECO's Metering points, whichever is lower."	Please refer to Item No. 11 of TOR and second to the last paragraph on Section 8.2 of PSA.
						Further, we are deeply concerned with the requirement of having to provide supply to LUECO even under the condition of FM given that such an event is already considered adverse to the Supplier. While we note that the supply may still be available, we would like to request to be granted with pricing flexibility in terms of providing the replacement power. Hence, we propose the following revision for item 11-Replacement Power- of the TOR:	

12 TOR	Item No. 11 Replacement Power				Replacement Power during Planned, Unplanned, and Force Majeure Outages of the source plants shall solely be for the account of the Power Supplier, provided, that the rate to be charged for the procurement of the alternative supply shall be the actual price of the alternative supply. Sual Power Inc. ("SPI") hereby suggests and maintains that the cost of providing the same should be the lower cost between the contract price under the PSA and the actual cost of replacement power from any other source(s). The position taken by SPI is guided by the intention not to limit the sources where RP can be secured / obtained under the circumstances and in accordance with the prevailing guidelines issued by the Energy Regulatory Commission.	Noted, thank you for your suggestion. Items No. 10 and 11 will have to be amended as follows: For Item No. 10 In the case of Force Majeure Event affecting Power Supplier, Power Supplier shall continue to supply LUECO at BER, other sources' or WESM Price at LUECO's Metering points, whichever is lower. For Item No. 11 Replacement Power during Planned, Unplanned, and Force Majeure Outages of the source
						Planned, Unplanned, and Force
13 TOR	Item No. 15 Eligibility	Must have: • List of projects undertaken	1. In the event that a bidder does not currently have its own	•	1. Does the response confirm that the BAC is already negating the option for	Please refer to answer on Q2.
	Requirements of	over the last ten (10) years;	renewable energy portfolio, but	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LUECO to comply with the RPS	
	Power Supplier	• List of electricity generation	rather have an ongoing		requirement through RECs provided	
			negotiations with a third-party	1 -	under Section 5.2 of ERC Resolution No.	

operated for the last five () RE supplier—and is willing to	meaning, just to fill in the	12, 2024 even if it could possibly result in	
years	assume the risk and commit to	shortfall and not to replace the	having a cheaper generation rate for its	
• a valid Certificate of	f providing the required	required RE supply. The total	consumers?	
Compliance (COC), and/or of	r Renewable Energy Certificates	lack of RE requirement will have		
documented Power Supp	y (RECs) even if the negotiation	an impact on the electricity	We acknowledge the provisions under	Yes, in supplying required RE but
Agreement (PSA) for/wit	n fails or the RE supply cannot	rates imposable on the	ERC Resolution No. 12, Series of 2024,	not REC.
the intended source plant/	commence in the first year—	consumers.	particularly Sections 5.1 and 5.3.	
for management of Plan	, what would be the acceptable		However, to allow participation of non-	
Independent Powe	r eligibility requirements for the		renewable generators that currently do	
Producer Administration	n RE portion under such	required RE supply is precisely	not have a portfolio of renewable energy	
Agreement (IPPAA)	circumstances?	to offer the least cost of	(RE) plants, may we respectfully clarify if	
Proof of/Documentation of	n	electricity to the consumers.	the BAC would consider a bidder without	
track record for the last five	e		an existing RE portfolio as eligible—	
(5) years of power plants.		As a relief, the required	provided that such bidder is willing to	
• sufficient available capacit	у	minimum physical RE supply,	assume the risk and formally commit to	
to supply LUECO	s	has to be complied with every	supplying the required Renewable	
requirements from	n	contract year, and not	Energy and/or Renewable Energy	
Commencement date, to b	e	necessarily on a monthly basis.	Certificates (RECs)?	
evidenced by a notarize	d			
certification in the form an	d		Ultimately, the bidding will be awarded to	
substance provided together	r		the bidder offering the least cost. We	
with this TOR.			hope this consideration will allow more	
Proof of direct WESI	1		participants to competitively and	
Membership.			responsibly meet the requirements.	
RPS-Eligibility for Renewab	e			
Energy Plant			In this regard, what would be acceptable	
			eligibility requirements for the RE portion	
			under such circumstances?	
	2. In lieu of the COC, the	Yes, it will be accepted.	2. As for this requirement:	
	Provisional Authority to Operate		a valid Certificate of Compliance (COC),	
	(PAO) or any equivalent		and/or or documented Power Supply	
	certification as proof of		Agreement (PSA) for/with the intended	
	application for issuance of COC		source plant/s; for management of Plant,	
	application for issuance of coc		source planty syrici management of Flanty	<u> </u>

				from the ERC should be accepted by LUECO in compliance with the requirement. These documents have the same effect as a valid COC.		Independent Power Producer Administration Agreement (IPPAA) May we clarify if a bidder may submit a redacted offer sheet from a Renewable Energy (RE) Eligible Plant—intended to be declared as the RE source for this bid submission—in lieu of the above documents?	Eligible Plant is required under ERC
						3. Further, are all the listed requirements expected to be provided for each nominated plant RE and non-RE? Alternatively, may the bidder submit the required documents for the primary nominated plant only?	LUECO is not limited to only one nominated plant for its evaluation.
14	TOR	Item No. 16 Bid Security	The amount must be equivalent to three (3)-month contract cost of the proposed power supply agreement computed using the bid price offered by the Bidder in the form of an Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit. (as per ERC Res. 16, Series of 2023)	computation:	Yes, energy requirement. (Please refer to Section 10 letter (c) Appendix B of ERC Resolution No. 16, Series of 2023)	We note that Bid Security is already computed in the bid form, in this case kindly confirm if the bidder is allowed to submit bid security higher than the computed amount.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15	TOR	Item No. 18 Penalty for non- supply or shortfall due to unavailability of power		include VAT?	non-RE.	SPI suggests and maintains that power supplier must not be penalized if the event of non-supply or shortfall is due to the inability on the part of LUECO to receive power/energy due to transmission line failure. While the PSA is in the nature of a "guaranteed supply"	the buyer can't receive

contract, it does not follow that power supplier should be penalized if LUECO will not be able to receive the contract capacity based on causes/events attributable to the latter. Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy are indicative ESTIMATES based on LUECO's forecasted demand and historical consumption trends. These do not represent guaranteed volumes. The actual dispatched capacity and energy will be subject to LUECO's actual demand and scheduling under the PSA. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? Could we request the previous 24-months load profile? Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? Annex A Please confirm whether the figures in contract capacity and energy will be subject to LUECO's or easted demand and historical consumption trends, and that the actual dispatch will depend on LUECO's forecasted demand and scheduling under the PSA. We will provide. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? In this regard, we respectfully seek confirmation and further guidance on the following: 1. Can LUECO confirm whether the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging from 42 MW to 63 MW during the 1st		<u>.</u>					
Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes. The actual dispatched capacity and energy will be subject to LUECO's actual demand and scheduling under the PSA. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes. The actual dispatched capacity and energy will be subject to LUECO's actual demand and scheduling under the PSA. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile?							
TOR Annex A Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes. The actual dispatched capacity and energy will be subject to LUECO's actual demand and scheduling under the PSA. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? Could we request the previous 12-months load prof						supplier should be penalized if LUECO will	
TOR Annex A Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Pinancial PSA structure. Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Pinancial PSA structure. Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Pinancial PSA structure. Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Pinancial PSA structure. Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes. These do not represent guaranteed volumes. The actual dispatch will depend on ULECO's real-time demand and scheduling. In this regard, we respectfully seek confirmation and further guidance on the following: 1. Can LUECO confirm whether the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging						not be able to receive the contract	
TOR Annex A Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Please confirm whether the Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes. These do not represent guaranteed volumes. The actual dispatched capacity and energy will be subject to LUECO's actual demand and scheduling under the PSA. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile?						capacity based on causes/events	
Annual Capacity and Energy Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? Could we request the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging Could we respectfully seek confirmation and further guidance on the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging Could we request the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and profile? Could we request the proposed PSA structure resu						attributable to the latter.	
Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Estimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Entimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed Financial PSA structure. Entimates are guaranteed volumes under the proposed PSA structure sults in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging	16 TOR A	Annex A	SCHEDULE OF ANNUAL DEMAND AND ENERGY REQUIREMENTS	Please confirm whether the	The figures indicated in Annex A	We respectfully acknowledge LUECO's	
Financial PSA structure. trends. These do not represent guaranteed volumes. The actual dispatched capacity and energy will be subject to LUECO's actual demand and scheduling under the PSA. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? We will provide. Toal LUECO confirm whether the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging			CONTRACT PERIOD DIAMATI DIAMAT	Annual Capacity and Energy	are indicative ESTIMATES based	response indicating that the figures in	
Financial PSA structure. trends. These do not represent guaranteed volumes. The actual dispatched capacity and energy will be subject to LUECO's actual demand and scheduling under the PSA. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? We will provide. Toal LUECO confirm whether the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging			September 28, 2026 - September 25, 2027 46,14 66,63 337,122 18,94% September 28, 2027 - September 25, 2028 47, 366 67,72 351,140 21,46% September 26, 2028 - September 25, 2029 49,64 72,97 365,340 23,98% September 26, 2028 - September 25, 2029 49,64 72,97 365,340 23,98%	Estimates are guaranteed	on LUECO's forecasted demand		
guaranteed volumes. The actual dispatched in that the actual dispatch will depend on LUECO's real-time demand and scheduling under the PSA. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? We will provide. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? In this regard, we respectfully seek confirmation and further guidance on the following: 1. Can LUECO confirm whether the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging			September 26, 2030 - September 25, 2031 53,41 78,93 386,086 29,02% September 36, 2031 - September 25, 2032 55,40 88,85 400,059 31,54% September 26, 2032 - September 25, 2033 57,466 87,55 413,607 34,06%	volumes under the proposed	and historical consumption	based on LUECO's forecasted demand	
dispatched capacity and energy will be subject to LUECO's actual demand and scheduling under the PSA. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? We will provide. UECO's real-time demand and scheduling. In this regard, we respectfully seek confirmation and further guidance on the following: 1. Can LUECO confirm whether the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging			September 28, 2013 - September 25, 2014 59,61 91,59 499,992 36,58%	Financial PSA structure.	trends. These do not represent	and historical consumption trends, and	
Will be subject to LUECO's actual demand and scheduling under the PSA. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? We will provide. We will provide. We will provide. In this regard, we respectfully seek confirmation and further guidance on the following: 1. Can LUECO confirm whether the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging					guaranteed volumes. The actual	that the actual dispatch will depend on	
demand and scheduling under the PSA. Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? We will provide. We will provide. We will provide. Unto 324 HOURT LOAD PROFILE (EXAMD) 1. Can LUECO confirm whether the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging					dispatched capacity and energy	LUECO's real-time demand and	
the PSA. The Ps					will be subject to LUECO's actual	scheduling.	
Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? We will provide. 12-months load profile? UCCOS 2024 HOURY LOAD PROFILE (DEMAND) 1					demand and scheduling under		
Could we request the previous 12-months load profile? We will provide. 12-months load profile? We will provide. 1. Can LUECO confirm whether the proposed PSA structure results in a unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier is required to guarantee and ensure availability of Contract Capacity ranging					the PSA.	In this regard, we respectfully seek	
12-months load profile? Total Inches Inch						confirmation and further guidance on the	
WECOS 2024 HOURITY LOAD PROPRIE (DEMAND) THE INDIA NATION HOURITY HOURITY HOURITY HOURITY HOURITH HOU				Could we request the previous	We will provide.	following:	
The limit field from the part ways to be the limit is to the part of the part				12-months load profile?			
S JULY 1277 NOTE 1882 AND 1882					LUECO'S 2024 HOURLY LOAD PROFILE (DEMAND) TIME Inn-24 Feb-24 Mar-24 Apr-24 May-24 May-24 May-24 May-24 Gar-24 Roy-24 Roy	1. Can LUECO confirm whether the	Please refer to item no. 20 of the
is required to guarantee and ensure and ensu					2 28885 24796 50815 38657 38719 8077 33070 33064 1228 11728 11740 27947 29513 26515 27796 28753 26525 3 2770 21740 2732 12070 27340 1202 2344 12027 23441 5342 12522 12525 2654 2027 2526 2652 25776 2252 2526 2656 2473 34684 4 26382 2525 36274 12688 25867 31575 3070 20702 2655 2123 2546 2672 2670 2520 2520 2520 2520 2520 2520 2520 25	proposed PSA structure results in a	TOR.
13 THOM SAME AND AND THAT THE STATE OF THE S					6 18455 22977 26023 30466 30869 31811 30579 25122 27821 27806 31842 30811 25509 25442 27081 31288 7 2802 23452 2562 25001 35021 3060 25412 2502 27412 2758 23810 3086 2797 2700 27256 31230 8 28181 27877 27871 30418 30818 37819 37070 3345 32400 30512 8140 1275 3025 30509 31221 37880	unilateral obligation wherein the Supplier	
					10 3742 3423 3515 4899 4731 4875 4851 4875 4852 3515 5853 4875 4875 4875 4875 4875 4875 4875 4875	is required to guarantee and ensure	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					13 5924 5966 4075 52114 51283 6977 6752 6752 6445 6978 6455 6256 5888 5988 59056 6254 6854 6854 6854 6854 6854 6854 6854 68	availability of Contract Capacity ranging	
20 18867 13225 18862 43346 46352 42744 46352 43744 46352 43745 1885 3725 4278					17 MINS 1944 4125 4897 1533 4986 4851 4523 4987 2533 4984 4521 4525 4525 4525 4525 4525 4525 452	from 42 MW to 63 MW during the 1st	
2 TH SPIN SEED THE SHORE AND					11 15779 1227 15966 4896 4896 4898 4189 4285 4198 1985 1985 1986 1987 1506 8887 1506 1717 4475 22 1586 13479 15566 4293 4596 4597 4189 4185 1838 1872 15079 5455 1521 5006 8051 1007 4475 23 1541 5282 1547 1556 1576 1576 1576 1576 1576 1576 157	Contract Year, while LUECO retains full	
discretion on the actual energy					Name 24633 22709 25648 25691 55603 50700 25614 25502 72107 27986 26655 5007 55655 50627 55637 51230 peck 60725 58695 42500 52505 54076 52507 50207 40724 40544 40553 42505 42505 42707 40200 42232 51250	discretion on the actual energy	
nominations without providing minimum						nominations without providing minimum	
or firm Capacity Utilization						or firm Capacity Utilization	
commitments?						commitments?	
We raise this point to emphasize a key						We raise this point to emphasize a key	
operational consideration: for thermal						operational consideration: for thermal	
generating units, it is technically essential						generating units, it is technically essential	
						to observe a minimum stable operating	

	,			T		, ,
					level (Pmin) to ensure fuel efficiency,	
					plant stability, and environmental	
					compliance. The absence of clear energy	
					nomination levels or indicative monthly	
					dispatch profiles may expose the Supplier	
					to substantial operational inefficiencies	
					or financial risks.	
					Accordingly, we respectfully propose	
					that LUECO consider incorporating a	
					reasonable firm and monthly Minimum	
					Energy Offtake (MEOT) commitment.	
					This would help align expectations,	
					promote dispatch predictability, and	
					support more sustainable power	
					generation operations—without	
					undermining LUECO's need for flexibility.	
17	TOR	Annex A	Schedule of Annual Demand		If there will be delay in the ERC Approval,	No initial adjustment for now but
			and Energy Requirements		what capacity will be considered for the	forecast maybe adjusted if
					adjusted term for both conventional and	extended up to 2036.
					RE requirements?	
18	TOR	Annex C	Acceptable Banks for the		We respectfully emphasize the	LUECO maintains its long list of
			issuance of SBLC		importance of expanding the list of	Acceptable Banks in Annex C of
					acceptable banks, including reputable	TOR.
					institutions such as Bank of Commerce,	
					to assist the Bidders in securing the SBLC.	
					Limiting the options constrains our ability	
					to utilize the most advantageous and	
					reliable banking partners, which could	
					potentially delay or hinder the approval	
					process.	
19	TOR	General	Price Cap		May we know the basis of the Price cap?	Please refer to answer on Q6,
		Comment			,	number 2.
	1		1	L	ı	

20	IPB	Section 3.1.8	For documents which must be			We respectfully wish to reiterate our	For the purpose of accountability,
			certified as a true and correct			request for the inclusion of atleast six (6)	LUECO allows additional two (2)
			copy, all the pages must be			additional authorized representatives in	authorized representatives.
			certified. In the alternative, a			the Secretary's Certificate. We	
			certification page may be			understand the previous decision;	
			attached to the document (1)			however, we kindly ask for your	
			clearly identifying the			reconsideration to accommodate the	
			document to which it is			possibility that some representatives	
			attached (including the name of			may be unavailable or unable to certify	
			the document, the issuer and			the documents at the scheduled time.	
			the date of issue) and (2)				
			attesting under oath that such				
			document, including the				
			number of pages of such				
			document, is a true and correct				
			copy of the original, which				
			certification must be under oath				
			and notarized.				
21	IPB	Section 4.1	4.1 QUALIFICATION	For Annex 2-item 4:	To maintain order during the Pre-		
		Qualification	DOCUMENTS	We would like to appeal to allow	Bid Conference, only the		
		Documents		us to indicate more than 2	submitted queries by all the		
			A Bidder must submit on or	Authorized Representatives.	Bidders will be answered.		
			before the Bid Submission	Please note that in our standard	Therefore, we will not entertain		
			Deadline sealed envelopes	Secretary's Certificate, we	additional questions on the spot.		
			consisting of the following	appoint more than 2	You may submit your additional		
			Qualification Documents, using	representatives authorized to	questions and/or further		
			the relevant forms indicated in	represent the company for	queries, if any, via email and we		
			this SECTION 4 (Qualification	bidding purposes.	will respond accordingly through		
			Documents) (Envelope 1A):		a bid bulletin. We are not		
					allowing additional		
			4.1.1 Application to Qualify and		representatives.		
			Participate in the Bidding, using				
			the form in ANNEX 1, with	For the certification, instead of	Only a Corporate/Partnership		
			attached Authority to	the Corporate/Partnership	Secretary can attest to the fact		

Participate in the Bidding and	Secretary, we would like to	that Board Meeting was	
		conducted for the stated	
	Representatives appointed in	purposes therein.	
in ANNEX 2;	Annex 2 to certify the		
,	documents.		
4.1.2 Company Information,			
using the form in ANNEX 3, with			
the following attachments;			
the following attachments,			
a) copy of its SEC Certificate			
of Incorporation, which			
shall be certified as a			
true copy by (i) the SEC;			
or (ii) the corporate			
secretary, in which case,			
it must be under oath			
and notarized;			
b) copy of its articles of			
incorporation and by-			
laws or articles of			
partnership, which shall			
be certified as a true			
copy by (i) the SEC; or (ii)			
the corporate secretary,			
in which case, it must be			
under oath and			
notarized;			
c) copy of its latest General	For item 4.1.2.c:	Confirmed.	
	Please confirm that we are		
	allowed to submit a General		
	Information Sheet issued by the		
,			

	the SEC, which shall be Partnership S	cretary in lieu of		
	certified as a true copy the GIS. Please	note that GNPK is		
	by (i) the SEC; or (ii) the a partnership	nd therefore does		
	corporate secretary, in not have a GIS			
	which case, it must be			
	under oath and For item 4.1.2	No. For item 4.1.2 c, GIS is a		
	notarized; Would it be p	ossible to provide public document and is allowed		
	summarized v	rsions or redacted to be shared especially if		
	d) a diagram of the shareholder	greements if full requested for bidding purposes,	For item 4.1.2.d:	
		t permitted under of which only the BAC shall have	Would it be possible to provide	We reiterate our previous reply.
	the Bidder with an internal po	cies, with a access.	summarized versions or redacted	
		to present full	shareholder agreements showing which	
	has Controlling interest documents in	ost-qual?	entity has Controlling interest over, or is	
	over, or is the Affiliate or		the Affiliate or Ultimate Parent of the	
	Ultimate Parent of, the		Bidder if full disclosure is not permitted	
	Bidder engaged in Power		under internal policies, with a	
	Generation and a copy of		commitment to present full documents	
	the shareholders'		in post-qual?	
	agreement, pooling			
	agreement, voting trust			
	agreement or equivalent			
	document if the Bidder is			
	a partnership or			
	consortium, which shall			
	be certified as a true			
	copy by the corporate			
	secretary (or its			
	equivalent), which			
	certification must be			
	under oath and			
	notarized;			
	e) if applicable, copy of its			
	registration with the BOI,			
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	·	

which shall be cert a true copy by (i) the or (ii) the consecretary, in which must be under or notarized; f) a copy of the Certification from Bureau of Icalization from Bureau of Icalization generation in the Icalization generation generation in the Icalization generation generati	re BOI; porate ase, it h and rate of the ternal der a or idders an g that this sulting tement ary for to. It as a duly of said ters, in	1 Solidary Agreement Whereby	For item 4.1.2.g: Solidary Agreement requirement: Can we submit Amended Articles of Partnership which already contains the liability clause and duly signed by the partners? For the roles indicated in the ITB may the Assistant Partnership Secretary perform those as well?	Yes. Please provide us a copy on the provision on the liability clause of the partners in the Amended Articles of Partnership, on or before July 04, 2025. Yes, provided the Corporate Secretary authorizes the Assistant Partnership Secretary to sign for and on her/his behalf via Special Power of Attorney (SPA).
which case, it m under oath and not 4.1.3 Notarized Certificate Absence of Unsatis	for item 4.1.3- Annex 5: On item 1 of Annex 5, can we delete items a and b if the bidder	Please refer to the introductory paragraph of No.1 where states that "1. (Insert name of Bidder)		

due/demandable financial	does not have any	and its Affiliates engaged in	
obligation/s, using the form in	"Unsatisfactory Performance?"	power generation and/or supply	
ANNEX 5; and		do not have any record of	
		Unsatisfactory Performance on	
		any of their projects and	
		contracts."	
		It is on this premise that (a) and	
4.1.4 Notarized Statement of		(b) should not be deleted.	
Financial Capability, using the			
form in ANNEX 6, together with			
the following attachments:			
a) copy of the audited			
(parent and consolidated,			
if applicable) financial	For 4.1.4.a:		
statements of the Bidder	1. Please confirm that the	Confirmed.	
or any of its direct	required AFS to be		
shareholders	submitted is for 2022,		
representing Controlling	2023, and 2024.		
interest, Affiliates or			
Ultimate Parent for the	2. For the AFS, we would	The email acknowledgement	
last three (3) years (the	like to request to allow	from the BIR has to be	
latest of which must not	bidders to submit the	attached to the required AFS	
be earlier than for the	email acknowledgement	but should be accompanied by	
year ending December 31,	from the BIR considering	a certification from any of the	
2024) duly stamped	past submissions have	Authorized Representatives	
"received" by the Bureau	been done online.	that the same is a certified true	
of Internal Revenue		copy of the AFS duly submitted	
("BIR") or SEC, or the		to the BIR.	
equivalent thereof in a			
foreign country, which	3. We respectfully request	Only a Corporate/Partnership	
shall be certified as a true	allowing the AFS to be	Secretary can attest to the fact	
copy by (i) the BIR or SEC,	certified by any of the	that Board Meeting was	

		or the equivalent thereof in a foreign country; or (ii)	Authorized Representatives	conducted for the stated purposes therein.		
		the chief financial officer	appointed in Annex 2.	purposes trierein.		
			appointed in Affrex 2.			
		or treasurer, in which				
		case, it must be under				
		oath and notarized; and				
		b) copy of the most recent quarterly financial statements, which shall be certified as a true copy by the chief financial officer or treasurer, and must be under oath and notarized.	For 4.1.4.b: Can we submit the Unaudited financial statement as of March 31, 2025?	The FS as of March 31, 2025 need not be audited FS but the Chief Financial Officer must certify that the figures therein reflect the fair presentation of the financial position and results of the operation of the Company as of March 31, 2025.		
22 IPB	Section 4	2 4.2 TECHNICAL PROPOSAL	In the event that a bidder does	The RPS Rules mandate that a	We acknowledge the provisions under	Please refer to answer on Q2.
	Technical		not currently have its own		ERC Resolution No. 12, Series of 2024,	,
	Proposal	The Bidder must	renewable energy portfolio		particularly Sections 5.1 and 5.3.	
	•	enumerate and identify its	rather have an ongoing	,	However, to allow participation of non-	
		proposed power plant/s,	negotiations with a third-party	energy sources.	renewable generators that currently do	
		which must be capable of	RE supplier—and is willing to		not have a portfolio of renewable	
		supplying the Offered	assume the risk and commit to	Section 5.3 of ERC Resolution	energy (RE) plants, rather have an	
		Capacity to LUECO for the	providing the required		ongoing negotiations with a third-party	
		Cooperation Period	Renewable Energy Certificates	Priority Compliance	RE supplier , may we respectfully clarify if	
		beginning on the	(RECs) even if the negotiation	Mechanism requires DU's to	the BAC would consider a bidder without	
		Commencement Date	fails or the RE supply cannot	l ·	an existing RE portfolio as eligible—	
		("Portfolio of Plants").	commence in the first year —	Mechanisms specified under	provided that such bidder is willing to	
		\ <u></u>	•	'	1 '	

	what would be the acceptable	Section 5.1 Compliance	assume the risk and formally commit to	
No later than the Bid	eligibility requirements for the	Mechanisms with	supplying the required Renewable	
Submission Deadline, a	RE portion under such	Corresponding Energy, to	Energy and/or Renewable Energy	
Bidder must submit its	circumstances?	comply with the least-cost	Certificates (RECs) in the event of a	
notarized Technical		sourcing of power supply to	delayed start of delivery of the RE plant	
Proposal of its Portfolio of		meet the minimum RPS	from the third-party supplier?	
Plants, using the form in		requirement.		
ANNEX 7, in a separate			Ultimately, the bidding will be awarded	
sealed envelope (Envelope		This being the case, LUECO	to the bidder offering the least cost. We	
1B) with the following		needs to meet the minimum	hope this consideration will allow more	
attachments:		RPS requirement thru the	participants to competitively and	
		above-mentioned	responsibly meet the requirements.	
		mechanisms and not thru the		
		RECs.	In this regard, could the bidder declare	For technical evaluation purposes,
			only one plant? Or in case the nominated	the existence of RE plants is a must.
	Can bidder declare only one	Please see comments above on	RE plant is still under construction or	In its absence, what will be your
	physical plant (conventional) and	this matter.	about to be built, what will be the	basis for your bid price in your
	provide RECs instead of an RE		acceptable documents in lieu of item 4.2	LCOE worksheet?
	plant?		(a) to (k)?	
	1. For item 4.2.a , is there a	Thank you for your	In reference to BAC's response on Q.19	
a) Evidence of	template for the	observation. The evidences of	"As a relief, the required minimum	
compliance to the	evidence of compliance	compliance refer to nos. 2-10	physical RE supply, has to be complied	
requirements stated in	to the requirements in	of the original ANNEX 7. They	with every contract year, and not	
the Invitation to Bid's	the TOR table? Kindly	should have been enumerated	necessarily on a monthly basis."	
Terms of Reference	provide an example	as items (a) to (k). This will be		
Table (" TOR Table ");	document for this.	a subject of an amendment	And in reference to this requirement:	
,,		that will be posted in our bid		
		bulletin.	The Bidder must enumerate and	
			identify its proposed power plant/s,	
b)The Portfolio of Plants	2. For item 4.2.b, please	Confirmed.	which must be capable of supplying	
must be covered by a	confirm that we are		the Offered Capacity to LUECO for	
Certificate of	allowed to submit a		the Cooperation Period beginning	
Compliance (COC)	Provisional Authority to			
Compliance (coc)				

			<u> </u>	
from the ERC and must	Operate (PAO) in lieu of		on the Commencement Date	
be registered as a	the COC.		(<u>"Portfolio of</u> <u>Plants"</u>).	
direct member of the				
WESM;			We respectfully seek confirmation from	Yes, it is confirmed.
			the BAC if the bidder may include in its	
c) Details of the	3. For item 4.2.c, can we	For the time being, a simple	"Portfolio of Plants" an RE facility that	
interconnection,	provide a simple write-	write-up is accepted.	may not be operational on the	
operation, and	up to comply with the	·	Commencement Date but is expected to	
maintenance of the	requirements?		commence operations within the first	
Portfolio of Plants,	·		contract year, provided that the annual	
including but not			RE requirement will still be met.	
limited to general			,	
information on the				
Portfolio of Plants and				
key components				
thereof, plant site/s,				
and interconnection				
site/s, for at least three				
(3) years;				
(5) years,				
N 1511 - D 1511 - CD1 - 1				
d) If the Portfolio of Plants				
has been in Commercial				
Operation for less than				
3 years then Bidder may				
submit less than 3 years				
of data together with				
proof that such Power				
Plant has only been				
operating for less than 3				
years. Proof can be				
Certificate of				
Commercial Operations				
			For itom 4.3 (a):	
		For item 4.2 (e), even if you only	For item 4.2 (e):	

e)	List of projects	4. For item 4.2.e-f, since	operate one power plant, you	Since GNPK is the first and only project	Please submit the letter of
	undertaken within the	bidder only operates one	must have projects undertaken	that GNPK has undertaken since its	explanation under oath on the non-
	last ten (10) years;	(1) power plant, can we	within the last ten years. So, the	incorporation in 2013, please confirm	applicability on requirement under
		provide a letter	requirement stays.	that a document in list type/form	Section 4.2 (e-f).
f)	List of electricity	explaining the non-		showing only one project in the list will	
	generation plants that	applicability of this	The requirement being asked is	suffice.	
	the Bidder has operated	requirement?	just a list.		
	for the last five (5) years;				
			For item 4.2 (f), your letter		
			explaining the non-applicability		
			of the requirement will suffice.		
			·		
g)	Proof of/		Yes.		
	Documentation on track	For item 4.2.g, can we submit the			
	record for the last five	2020-2024 Generation Company			
	(5) years of Portfolio	Management Report (GCMR) to			
	operated by it;	comply with this requirement?			
	operated by it,				
la l	Fan mander analysis				
	For newly operated				
	renewable energy				
	plant, in lieu of the				
	requirements of letters				
	e, f, and g, the Bidder				
	may submit the				
	following:				
	1) Key Personnel				
	Experience –				
	curriculum vitae of				
	key personnel of the				
	prospective Bidder				
	showing they have				
	sufficient				

experience in the	
electric power	
industry,	
particularly in the	
generation sector;	
and	
2) Other relevant	
information showing	
proof of the	
technical capabilities	
of the Bidder that	
would be helpful to	
the BAC.	
i) Certificate of	
Registration issued by	
the Board of	
Investments (BOI), if	
applicable;	
j) A notarized	
certification, using the	
form in ANNEX 4-A and	With reference to Item 4.2 (j), we We can't do away with the
ANNEX 4-B, that the	respectfully seek confirmation on technical capability document
Offered Capacity from	whether the Bidder is required to execute specifically Annexes 4-A and 4-
the Power Plant and/or	the certifications under both Annex 4A under Section 4.2 (j) of IPB for
each of the plants in its	and Annex 4B—for Renewable Energy technical evaluation purposes.
portfolio, at the time of	(RE) and non-Renewable Energy (non-RE)
Commencement Date	sources—even if the nominated RE or This means that upon submission
and for the entire	non-RE plant is not directly affiliated with of the bid you should already have
Cooperation Period is	the Bidder. a negotiated contract with an R
not covered by any	eligible plant.
offtake agreement (e.g.,	

	1		a Power Supply			In cases where the nominated RE or non-	Same answer as above because we
			Agreement (PSA) or			RE plant is not affiliated with the Bidder,	need a commitment from you as
						The state of the s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			ancillary services			kindly clarify which specific document(s)	the supplier of RE to LUECO
			procurement			must be submitted in lieu of ANNEX 4A or	regardless of the affiliation of the
			agreement). However,			ANNEX 4B to demonstrate compliance.	RE supplier to the bidder.
			although covered by an				
			offtake agreement				
			subject of an				
			application for approval				
			pending before the ERC,				
			such application shall be				
			withdrawn and the				
			relevant offtake				
			agreement terminated				
			as of Commencement				
			Date; and				
			k) Certification of RPS-				
			Eligible Renewable Plant				
			from the DOE.				
23	IPB	Section 4.2				Please confirm that Bidders may submit	We don't allow redacted
		Technical	track record for the last five (5)			redacted GCMR for this requirement.	documents.
		Proposal	years of Portfolio operated by it;				
24	IPB	Section 4.2				In lieu of the Certification of RPS-Eligible	The certification of RPS eligible
24	" "	Technical	Renewable Plant from the DOE.			Renewable Plant, what other document	Renewable Plant from your RE
		Proposal	Renewable Flant from the BOL.			may the Bidder submit for this	supplier will suffice.
		Гторозат				requirement?	supplier will suffice.
25	IPB	Section 4.3 Bid	4.3 BID AND BID SECURITY	We propose the inclusion of	We maintain the list of Allowed	We would like to appeal to include	LUECO maintains its long list of
23	"	and Bid Security	T.S BID AND BID SECONTT	PBCom and AUB in the list of		PBCom and AUB as they are universal	Acceptable Banks in Annex C of
		and Did Security	No lotor than the Did	acceptable banks for SBLC	DUING III AIVIVEA II.	banks recognized for their strong	TOR and Annex 11 of IPB.
			No later than the Bid	issuance because they are		financial stability and reliability.	TOR GIR AIRIES II OF IF D.
			Submission Deadline, a	universal banks recognized for		initialicial stability and reliability.	
			Bidder must submit its Bid	their strong financial stability and			
			in a separate sealed	reliability. We further note that			
			envelope (Envelope 2)	renability, we further note that			

consisting of the following documents (collectively referred to as the "Bid"), using the relevant forms indicated in this Section 4.3: a) Bid Letter, using the form in ANNEX 8; b) Bid Security equivalent to three (3)-month contract cost of the	
documents (collectively referred to as the "Bid"), using the relevant forms indicated in this Section 4.3: a) Bid Letter, using the form in ANNEX 8; b) Bid Security equivalent to three (3)-month	
referred to as the "Bid"), using the relevant forms indicated in this Section 4.3: a) Bid Letter, using the form in ANNEX 8; b) Bid Security equivalent to three (3)-month	
indicated in this Section 4.3: a) Bid Letter, using the form in ANNEX 8; b) Bid Security equivalent to three (3)-month	
indicated in this Section 4.3: a) Bid Letter, using the form in ANNEX 8; b) Bid Security equivalent to three (3)-month	
b) Bid Security equivalent to three (3)-month	
form in ANNEX 8; b) Bid Security equivalent to three (3)-month	
b) Bid Security equivalent to three (3)-month	
b) Bid Security equivalent to three (3)-month	
to three (3)-month	
to three (3)-month	
proposed power supply	
agreement computed	
using the bid price	
offered by the Bidder in	
the form of an	
irrevocable standby	
letter of credit issued by	
an Allowed Bank listed	
in ANNEX 11 and using	
the template in ANNEX	
9 (without	
modification);	
Proposed Price for each Billing	
Year throughout the	
Cooperation Period beginning	
on the Commencement Date, as	
reflected in the Financial	
Evaluation Workbook. A soft	
copy of the Financial Evaluation	
Workbook (this includes	
worksheets on LCOE Result and	

			Annual Escalation; Base Rates Table; in the forms shown below) shall be submitted via email, together with a print out of the said duly accomplished worksheet/s, signed in all pages of the original set by the Bidder's authorized representative and submitted as part of Envelope 2; and 9				
26	IPB	Section 4.3.2 Forfeiture of Bid Security				Pertaining to the "Forfeiture of the Bid Security" upon the occurrence of the events mentioned in letter "h", Section 4.3.2, SPI suggests and maintains that the forfeiture of the Bid Security can only be had if the delay in the submission of any additional document that the ERC may require for the successful filing of the relevant ERC application for the approval of the PSA, is directly attributable to the Winning Bidder.	Section 4.3.2 (e) and (h) is very clear on the matter.
27	IPB	Section 4.3.4 Performance Security	4.3.4 PERFORMANCE SECURITY (a) Within ten (10) calendar days from the execution of the contract, the Winning Bidder, shall post a Performance Bond, by way of Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit, equivalent to the three (3)-month contract cost of the proposed PSA computed using the bid price offered by the Winning Bidder.	contingent on the bid price, bidders need sufficient time to prepare competitive offers, and a high fixed amount limits our ability to secure it promptly.	Resolution No. 16, Series of 2023 and not our own requirement.	We fully understand and respect your requirement for the Seller to provide a Performance Security as a guarantee of contract performance, however, we respectfully propose that reciprocal protection be afforded to the Seller as well, through the posting of a Security Deposit or any acceptable form of financial guarantee on the part of the Buyer. This is a common industry practice that ensures balanced risk allocation	The Seller has an obligation to supply electricity to the Buyer and to ensure full compliance to this, the Seller shall post a Performance Security. On the other hand, the Buyer has the obligation to pay the bill within the agreed period. In case of failure to pay on the part of the Buyer, the Seller's ultimate recourse is to cut-off the supply. In worst case scenario, which is a case of default on the part of

- (b) Failure to post the required Performance Security within the prescribed period will result in the forfeiture of the Bid Security.

 (c) Failure, inability or refusal of the Winning Bidder to
- refusal of the Winning Bidder to join in the timely filing of the application will result in the forfeiture of the Performance Security/Bid Security, whichever is applicable.
- (d) If forfeited, the Performance Security shall be used by the DU in purchasing power covering the period affected by the delay or failure in the filing of the application, in which case, such forfeited amount used in purchasing power shall not be passed-on to its consumers.

competition and potentially lowering the cost of power supply for LUECO's consumers. Notably, in Meralco's recent CSP for peaking supply, a high bid security was a factor in bidder withdrawals.

We also appeal that the Performance Bond be returned to the Seller one month after delivery commencement or after the first billing period to avoid trapping significant funds during the PSA, as recognized in recent DU/EC CSPs.

Could GenCo also impose a bill deposit equivalent to the performance bond instead?

Performance security is a continuing financial guarantee ensuring that a party fulfills its obligations under a contract up to its termination. If the obligated party fails to perform as agreed, the Performance Security can be used by LUECO in purchasing power covering the period affected by the delay or failure in the filing of the application.

The Seller has an obligation to supply electricity to the Buyer and to ensure full compliance to this, the Seller shall post a Performance Security. On the other hand, the Buyer has the obligation to pay the bill within the agreed period. In case of failure to pay on the part of the Buyer, the Seller's ultimate recourse is to cut-off the supply.

between both parties. Just as the Buyer seeks assurance that the Seller will fulfill its supply obligations, the Seller likewise requires reasonable assurance that the Buyer will meet its payment obligations—particularly in a long-term or high-value arrangement.

Without such a financial guarantee, the Seller bears a disproportionate level of risk, especially in the event of delayed or non-payment, which may affect the continuity of supply and financial viability of the Seller's obligations.

We trust that a mutually acceptable security mechanism can be agreed upon in the spirit of fairness and in support of a stable and reliable commercial relationship.

In a worst-case scenario, the Buyer may default on its payment obligations while still holding the Seller's Performance Security. This creates a risky situation for the Seller, wherein,

The Seller, having posted a Performance Security in good faith, is left financially exposed despite fulfilling its obligations under the contract;

The Buyer, who has not provided any form of security to guarantee its own

LUECO, SELLER shall be entitled to Liquidated Damages in lieu of all other damages to which it may be entitled in respect of such Event of Default, equivalent to two (2) months' worth of electricity payment calculated as the sum of the previous two (2) months' worth of electricity payments made by BUYER to SELLER, net of any deductions that the Law may require. Please refer to Section 14.3.2 of the PSA.

Buyer's default is a remote scenario because buyer will be answerable to its 56,000 consumers.

1	T	T	T	T	
			In worst case scenario, which is a	payment obligations, continues to hold	
			case of default on the part of	the Seller's Performance Security despite	
			LUECO, SELLER shall be entitled	being in default;	
			to Liquidated Damages in lieu of		
			all other damages to which it	More critically, if the Buyer is already	
			may be entitled in respect of	unable to fulfill its payment obligations,	
			such Event of Default, equivalent	it raises serious concern as to how the	
			to two (2) months' worth of	Buyer would be able to satisfy its	
			electricity payment calculated as	liability for liquidated damages.	
			the sum of the previous two (2)		
			months' worth of electricity	This scenario shows a possible	To prove that LUECO has been
			payments made by BUYER to	imbalance in risk, where the Seller may	prompt with its obligation to pay, it
			SELLER, net of any deductions	carry the financial responsibility for both	has availed the monthly 3% PPD for
			that the Law may require. Please	performance and unpaid obligations	the last 16 years for the benefit of
			refer to Section 14.3.2 of	without sufficient protection. To ensure	the consumers.
			the PSA.	fairness, mutual accountability, and	
				balanced risk exposure, we strongly	
				recommend that both parties provide	
				appropriate security mechanisms aligned	
				with their respective obligations under	
				the contract.	
28 IPB	ANNEX 2	We would like to appeal to allow	Please refer to the above	Response to Q46 only pertains to the	Please refer to answer on Q20.
	Authority to	us to indicate more than 2	comment under Q46.	Corporate/Partnership Secretary as the	
	Participate in the	Authorized Representatives.		one that can attest to the fact that Board	
	Bidding and	Please note that in our standard		Meeting was conducted for the stated	
	Designation of	Secretary's Certificate, we		purposes therein.	
	Authorized	appoint more than 2			
	Representative	representatives authorized to		Our question/appeal is to allow us to	
		represent the company for		indicate more than 2 Authorized	
		bidding purposes.		Representatives/Signatories,	
		0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		specifically in the 4 th paragraph of item 4	
				in Annex 2:	
L		l .	l .		

					4. At a pagedar perceion marking of the Board of Devictor/portion of the Board and Control of Devictor (profession of the Board of Devictor) perceived and only profession the Board of Board of Devictor (profession of Devictor) perceived and opproved. EXCLUSION AN IT IS HERRY RESOLVIO. That the (name of Board of the Control of Ital union Board Control Capability and pages and obtained and the Devictor Control Capability and pages and obtained and the Devictor Control Capability and pages and obtained and the Devictor Control Capability and pages and obtained and the Devictor Control Capability and pages and the Devictor Control Capability and the Devictor Ca	
					Further, we suggest to include the Plant	
					Financial Controller in addition to the Chief Finance Officer.	Please refer to reply above.
29 IPB	ANNEX 2 Authority to	#UNKNOWN!			Please confirm that the Assistant Corporate Secretary may execute this	Yes, provided the Corporate Secretary authorizes the Assistant
	Participate in the Bidding and Designation of Authorized				document.	Corporate Secretary to sign for and on her/his behalf via Special Power of Attorney (SPA).
	Representative					
30 IPB	ANNEX 11 List of Allowed Banks		We propose the inclusion of PBCom and AUB in the list of acceptable banks for SBLC		We would like to appeal to include PBCom and AUB as they are universal banks recognized for their strong	LUECO maintains its long list of Acceptable Banks in Annex C of TOR and Annex 11 of IPB.
			issuance because they are universal banks recognized for	We maintain the list of Allowed Banks in ANNEX 11.	financial stability and reliability.	
			their strong financial stability and reliability. We further note that		Please see attached write-up to support our appeal that we hope will merit your	
			these are also the issuing banks		consideration.	

				for GNPK's existing SBLC/BG facilities.			
31	IPB	ANNEX 11 List of Allowed Banks	No. Institution 1. Bank of the Philippine Islands 2. BDO Unibank, Inc. 3. Development Bank of the Philippines 4. Land Bank of the Philippines 5. Metropolitina bank & Trust Company 6. Philippine National Bank 7. China Banking Corporation	Toolities.		May we suggest adding the following banks which are also credible commercial banks in the Philippines. 1. Security Bank 2. Citibank	Please refer to answer above.
32	IPB	ANNEXES	Request for Word File for the Annexes			3. HSBC While the annexes may be converted into Word format, doing so may change the formatting. To ensure consistency with the submissions, we respectfully request that the annexes be provided in editable Word file format.	We will provide.
33	IPB	General Comment				Will the Winning Bidder be allowed to add to its portfolio of Renewable Energy Plant/s (RPS eligible) during the term of the Agreement? Adding plants to the portfolio will ensure that the winning bidder will be able to have enough RPS supply to LUECO.	Yes. Please provide the technical capability documents of the additional RE Plant/s.
34	IPB	General Comment				May we request LUECO to provide a complete checklist of requirements.	We will provide.
35	PSA	ARTICLE 3 PERFORMANCE SECURITY	3.1 SELLER shall, within ten (10) Days from execution of the contract, deliver to BUYER security ("Performance Security") equivalent to the three (3)-month contract cost herein the PSA amounting to (PHP), in the form of an irrevocable stand-by letter	Bond be returned to the Seller one month after delivery commencement or after the first billing period to avoid trapping	Performance security is a continuing financial guarantee ensuring that a party fulfills its	We fully understand and respect your requirement for the Seller to provide a Performance Security as a guarantee of contract performance, however, we respectfully propose that reciprocal protection be afforded to the Seller as well, through the posting of a Security Deposit or any acceptable form of payment guarantee on the part of the	Please refer to answer on Q27.

of credit or bank guarantee, and at the time of issuance thereof, be issued by an Acceptable Bank.

3.2 Within sixty (60) Days from each anniversary of the Commencement Date, the Parties shall meet to review the list of Acceptable Banks and mutually agree on the list of Acceptable Banks from which any amended or replacement Performance Security shall be procured.

Could GenCo also impose a bill

deposit equivalent to the

performance bond instead?

3.3 If the Performance Security is subject, pursuant to its terms, to a fixed expiry date,
SELLER shall, not less than ten (10) Days prior to such expiry date, amend or replace the Performance Security with a duly-executed amended or replacement Performance Security, compliant with the requirements under Section 3.1 of this Agreement.

3.4 Each Performance Security shall stand as security for the faithful and proper compliance by SELLER of its obligations under this Agreement and shall

Security can be used by LUECO in purchasing power covering the period affected by the delay or failure in the filing of the application.

The Seller has an obligation to supply electricity to the Buyer and to ensure full compliance to this, the Seller shall post a Performance Security. On the other hand, the Buyer has the obligation to pay the bill within the agreed period. In case of failure to pay on the part of the Buyer, the Seller's ultimate recourse is to cut-off the supply.

In worst case scenario, which is a case of default on the part of LUECO, SELLER shall be entitled to Liquidated Damages in lieu of all other damages to which it may be entitled in respect of such Event of Default, equivalent to two (2) months' worth of electricity payment calculated as the sum of the previous two (2) months' worth of electricity payments made by BUYER to SELLER, net of any deductions that the Law may require. Please refer to Section 14.3.2 of the PSA.

This is a common industry practice that ensures balanced risk allocation between both parties. Just as the Buyer seeks assurance that the Seller will fulfill its supply obligations, the Seller likewise requires reasonable assurance that the Buyer will meet its payment obligations—particularly in a long-term contract.

Without such a payment guarantee, the Seller bears a disproportionate level of risk, especially in the event of delayed or non-payment, which may affect the continuity of supply and financial viability of the Seller's obligations.

We trust that a mutually acceptable security mechanism can be agreed upon in the spirit of fairness and in support of a stable and reliable commercial relationship.

In a worst-case scenario, the Buyer may default on its payment obligations while still holding the Seller's Performance Security. This creates a disadvantage situation for the Seller, wherein,

The Seller, having posted a Performance Security in good faith, is left financially exposed despite fulfilling its obligations under the contract;

				<u></u>	<u></u>		
			be procured and maintained by			The Buyer, who has not provided any	
			SELLER until the end of			form of security to guarantee its own	
			Cooperation Period.			payment obligations, continues to hold	
						the Seller's Performance Security despite	
			3.5 Within thirty (30) Days after			being in default;	
			the expiration of Cooperation				
			Period, BUYER shall return to			More critically, if the Buyer is already	
			SELLER the Performance			unable to fulfill its payment obligations,	
			Security less any amount			it raises concern as to how the Buyer	
			properly due and owing from			would be able to satisfy its liability for	
			SELLER to BUYER under this			liquidated damages.	
			Agreement.				
						This scenario shows a possible	
			3.6 BUYER may draw the entire			imbalance in risk, where the Seller may	
			amount of the Performance			carry the financial responsibility for both	
			Security and hold the proceeds			performance and unpaid obligations	
			in trust as cash collateral if			without sufficient protection. To ensure	
			SELLER fails to procure a			fairness, mutual accountability, and	
			replacement Performance			balanced risk exposure, we strongly	
			Security within the time			recommend that both parties provide	
			required under Section 3.3			appropriate security mechanisms aligned	
			above.			with their respective obligations under	
						the contract.	
36	PSA	ARTICLE 6 TAXES,	6.1 Responsibility for Taxes,	Kindly confirm that any Energy	Energy Imbalance Fees shall be	Please confirm whether all other	No, Market-Related Fees should be
		FEES, AND COSTS	Fees and Costs		for the account of the Seller as	adjustments imposed by the market from	shouldered by the seller. Please
				discrepancies between the Daily	provided in Section 6.1.1 of the	time to time will be shouldered by the	refer to Section 6.1.1 (a) of the PSA.
			6.1.1 SELLER shall make timely	MQ and the Final MQ shall be for	PSA.	Buyer. Kindly note that there are	. ,
			payment of the following	the account of the Buyer. The		impositions of adjustments (i.e., MRU,	
			amounts:	Seller's obligation is limited to		AdCom, etc.) made by IEMOP	
				the timely settlement of such		attributable to historical transactions	
			a) WESM Market Related	fees with the market.		which are due and demandable	
			Charge, including but is not			immediately.	
			limited to Market Fees,			,	
			Line Rental Charges, etc.;				
	1		. ,	I .	ı	1	

b) Energy Imbalance Fees;	In addition, it is concerning that LUECO is	Please refer to Article 5, Section 5
c) Benefits to Host	firm on having the Supplier shoulder the	
Communities Charges; and	discrepancies in terms of quantities	
d) Applicable value-added	between the Daily MQ and Final MQ.	
tax on any of the foregoing	Note that these may be due to metering	
amounts in accordance with	errors that are beyond the Supplier's	
Philippine Bureau of Internal	control. Hence, it should be paid what is	
Revenue and ERC regulations.	due.	
6.1.2 Value-added tax on energy		
supplied by SELLER and		
Replacement Power (if any VAT		
is payable) in accordance with		
Philippine tax Laws and		
regulations that BUYER is		
responsible for collecting the		
VAT from its customers, of		
which SELLER shall be		
responsible for paying to third		
parties. Hence, shall form part		
of the price that BUYER will		
charge to its customers. For the		
avoidance of doubt, VAT		
discussed in this Section shall		
not exceed 12%, unless		
amended subsequently by any		
Law.		
6.1.3 BUYER shall be liable for		
and pay any new taxes, fees,		
charges, and levies imposed on		
SELLER after the date hereof in		
connection with the		
performance by SELLER of its		

		Г	Γ	I		T	
			obligations pursuant to this				
			Agreement, and upon ERC				
			Approval of the recovery of such				
			from BUYER's customers. Such				
			payments shall be made as and				
			when required pursuant to				
			applicable laws in effect from				
			time to time.				
			Each Party shall be liable for				
			their respective income taxes.				
			For the avoidance of doubt, any				
			increase in income tax imposed				
			on SELLER shall be for SELLER's				
			account.				
37	PSA	ARTICLE 8 FORCE	8.1 Events of Force Majeure	Given that any event of FM is not	As a general rule, no party shall	We are deeply concerned with the	
		MAJEURE		within the control of the affected	be liable in case of event of Force	requirement of having to provide supply	
				Party, such Party should not incur	Majeure. However, please refer	to LUECO even under the condition of FM	
				any losses or be penalized under	to Article 8.2.2 second to the last	given that such an event is already	
				FM circumstances.	paragraph.	considered adverse to the Supplier. While	
						we note that the supply may still be	
				We kindly request for LUECO to	"In the case of Force Majeure	available, we would like to request to be	
				have this provision open for	Event affecting SELLER including	granted with pricing flexibility in terms of	
				negotiation with the Winning	System Emergency, and there is	providing the replacement power.	
				Bidder	available supply from another		
					source, SELLER shall continue to	Hence, we propose the following	Please refer to answer on Q12.
					supply LUECO at prevailing	revision:	
					Generation Rate or WESM Price		
					at LUECO's Market Trading Node,	"In the case of Force Majeure Event	When a force majeure occurs but
					whichever is lower. SELLER shall	affecting SELLER including System	energy supply is still available,
					guarantee 100% availability of	Emergency, and there is available supply	setting the price at the lower of the
					supply at prevailing Generation		approved rate, from other sources
					Rate regardless of source plant's		or the WESM rate ensures fairness,
						price of the alternative supply. SELLER	protects consumers, prevents price

the avoidance of doubt the	shall guarantee 100% availability of	gouging and promotes economic
_	supply regardless of source plant's	
* *		stability during disruptions.
1	availability or unavailability. For the	
omission as Force Majeure."	avoidance of doubt, the Power Supplier	
	shall not be entitled to claim any of its act	
We recognized your concern and	or omission as Force Majeure."	
in fact this is subject of our query		
to DOE and we quote the reply of		
DOE:		
"The DOE concurred that such		
provision is necessary to ensure		
efficiency in the operation of the		
winning bidder, which		
consequently results in the		
continuous electric power supply		
to LUECO's captive customers."		